







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/874,302	(06/05/2001	Robert F. Rioux	BSC-158 7588	
21323	7590	09/29/2003		÷	
•		& THIBEAULT	EXAMINER		
HIGH STRE 125 HIGH S		EK	DAGOSTINO, SABRINA		
BOSTON, N	BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					TATER NUMBER
				3743	
				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	_
					7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

								
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/874,302	RIOUX, ROBERT F.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Sabrina Dagostino	3743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.	•						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	BEST AVAIL	ABLE COPY					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicat	tion No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) []	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and T	rademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/874,302 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

1. Please send another copy of the IDS dated 1/7/02 in response to this office action.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show element number 26 as described in the specification on page 10. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 09/874,302 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "A Modified Percutaneous Outpatient Bladder Neck Suspension System" by Theodore V. Benderev in view of Foster (90313758.6).

The applicant discloses a surgical instrument for treating femail urinary incontinence having a handle, a shaft able to be adapted to access interior tissue within a human body, a blunt tip and a grasping mechanism located within a distal end portion having a open, intermediate and closed position, and a knob on the handle. Benderev discloses almost the same exact subject matter (see pages 1 and 2, and Fig. 2) except that it only discloses a blunt tip in the closed position and doesn't have a knob on the handle. Foster however discloses a blunt tip and a knob on the handle (see Fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the instrument of Benderev such that it had a blunt tip as taught in Foster for the purposes of blunt dissection of tissue.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7-9 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabrina Dagostino whose telephone number is 703-306-3485. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 703-308-0101. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 09/874,302

Art Unit: 3743

Sabrina Dagostino Examiner Art Unit 3743

September 15, 2003

Henry Bennett
Supervisory Hatent Examiner
Group 3700

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Page 4